

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,362		10/07/2003	Ming-Hui Wei	CL001062-CON	5605
25748	7590	05/15/2006		EXAMINER	
CELERA (SEHARASEYON, JEGATHEESAN		
45 WEST G		NTGOMERY, VICE IVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
C2-4#20			1647		
ROCKVILLE, MD 20850				DATE MAILED: 05/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/679,362	WEI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jegatheesan Seharaseyon, Ph.D	1647
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiron. seriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from statute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 April 2004	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all		osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un		
Disposition of Claims	•	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		ion No
2. Certified copies of the priority docu3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been receive	
application from the International B	•	ed III tilis National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ed.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S 		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11 are drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a polypeptide, a vector and a host cell, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- II. Claims 12-15 are drawn to a protein, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claim 13 is drawn to a method of detecting the presence of a nucleic acid, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other, for the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are independent and distinct, each from the other, because they are products which possess characteristic differences in structure and function and each has an independent utility that is distinct for each invention which cannot be exchanged. The polynucleotide of invention I can be used to make a hybridization probe or can be used in gene therapy as well as in the production of the protein of interest. The polypeptide of invention II can be used as a probe or used therapeutically or diagnostically, e.g. in screening. In addition, the searches are not coextensive for these products.

Inventions I and (III) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product of invention I can be used in gene therapy or in production of the recombinant protein.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

In addition, the searches are not coextensive for these inventions. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 2. The claims of Groups I are drawn to multiple polynucleotide molecules (SEQ ID NO: 1 and 3). Each of the different sequences are independent and distinct because no common structural or functional properties are shared. Accordingly, these sequences are each subject to restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121. Applicant is additionally required to elect a single polynucleotide molecule, which if determined to be patentable, would also be patentably distinct from the other nucleic acid sequences. This requirement is made under 1192 O.G.68 Notice (November 19, 1996), as examination of more than one sequence in one application would result in an undue burden on the PTO.
- 3. The Examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

 Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance

Art Unit: 1647

with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,362 Page 5

Art Unit: 1647

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Claim 1 is objected to because sequence identifiers are in the wrong format. Sequence need be reported as "SEQ ID NO: ".

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jegatheesan Seharaseyon, Ph.D whose telephone number is 571-272-0892. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,362

Art Unit: 1647

Page 6

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JS 05/06

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Chustine J. Saoud